

Delta J. Sci. 2009, 33: 16-25

**MATHEMATICS** 

# Strongly $\theta$ -continuous mappings between bitopological ordered spaces

A. A. Nouh<sup>(1)</sup> M. E. EL-Shafei<sup>(2)</sup> and M. Abo-ELhamayel<sup>(3)</sup>
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura
University, 35516 Mansoura, Egypt

(Received: 5-9-2009)

**ABSTRACT**: This paper is devoted to introduce and study the notion of increasing and decreasing pairwise strongly  $\theta$ -continuous mappings between bitopological ordered spaces. Examples and counter examples are given. Some properties and characterizations of the suggested are obtained. Relations between the new notions and corresponding concepts are proved.

### 1. Introduction

The study of partially order relation in topological ordered spaces was initiated by Nachbin in 1965 [8]. Nachbin's results were extended and generalized by several authors [3,4,11]. The notion of bitopological ordered space was introduced by M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal [12]. In [1,9,10], the work on bitopological ordered spaces was continued. A set equipped with two topologies and a partially order relation is said to be a bitopological ordered space.

#### 1. Preliminaries

We would like to remark in the context of the present paper that  $i,j\in\{1,2\}, i\neq j$ . Also, we will use P to denote pairwise, bto-space to denote bitopological ordered space and nbd to denote neighborhood. Let  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho)$  be a bitopological ordered space. The closure and interior of a subset A of X with respect to (w. r. t., for short)  $\tau_i$  are denoted by  $\tau_i.cl(A)$  and  $\tau_i.int(A)$  respectively.

Definition 2.1 [6]. A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2) \to (Y,\varDelta_1,\varDelta_2)$  is said to be

- (a) P-continuous if  $f:(X,\tau_i)\to (Y,\Delta_i)$  is continuous, i=1,2
- (b) P-open if  $f:(X,\tau_i) \to (Y,\Delta_i)$  is open, i=1,2
- (c) P-closed if  $f:(X,\tau_i) \to (Y,\Delta_i)$  is closed, i=1,2.

In the following, let  $\rho_X$  denotes a partially order on a non-empty set X.

Definition 2.2 [8]. A subset A of X, where  $(X, \rho)$  is a partially ordered set is said to be increasing (decreasing) if for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $a\rho x(x\rho a)$  imply  $x \in A$ .

A.A. Nouh et al.: Strongly  $\theta$  continuous mappings.....

Definition 2.3 [2]. A mapping  $f:(X,\tau,\rho_X)\to (Y,\Delta,\rho_Y)$  is said to be increasing (decreasing) mapping if  $x_1\rho_Xx_2$  leads to  $f(x_1)\rho_Yf(x_2)(f(x_2)\rho_Yf(x_1))$ .

Definition 2.4 [13]. A mapping  $f:(X,\tau,\rho_X)\to (Y,\Delta,\rho_Y)$  is said to be order embedding if we have  $x_1\rho_Xx_2$  iff  $f(x_1)\rho_Yf(x_2)$ .

Definition 2.5 [12]. A bto-space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho)$  is said to be pairwise  $T_2(PT_2, \tau_1, \rho)$  for short), if for all  $a,b \in X$  such that  $a\rho b$ , there exist an increasing  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of a and a decreasing  $\tau_j$  open nbd V of b such that  $U \cap V = \phi$ 

Definition 2.6 [12]. A bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  is said to be lower (upper) pairwise strongly regular ordered if for each decreasing (increasing)  $\tau_i$  closed subset F of X and for all  $x \notin F$  there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of x and a decreasing (increasing)  $\tau_j$  open nbd V of F such that  $U \cap V = \phi$   $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  is said to be pairwise strongly regular  $(PSR_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  for short) if it is both lower and upper pairwise strongly regular ordered.

Theorem 2.7 [12]. A bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  is said to be pairwise strongly regular  $(PSR_2, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  for short) if for all  $x \in X$  and for every increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of x, there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U\* of x such that  $\tau_j.cl(U^*) \subseteq U$ .

Definition 2.8 [5]. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  be a bitopological ordered space,  $x \in X$  and  $A \subseteq X$ .

The  $\theta_{ij}$  - closure of A, denoted by  $\theta_{ij}$  .cl(A), is defined by :  $\theta_{ij}.cl(A)_{=\{}x \in X : \text{ for every } \tau_i$  - open nbd U of  $x,\tau_j.cl(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ 

The  $\theta_{ij}$  - interior of A, denoted by  $\theta_{ij}.int(A)$ , is defined by :  $\theta_{ij}.int(A) = \{x \in X \exists \ \tau_i - \text{open nbd U of } x \text{ such that } \tau_j.cl(U) \subseteq A\}.$ 

3. Increasing and decreasing P.s.  $\theta$  -continuous mappings

Definition 3.1. A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  is said to be:

Increasing P.s.  $\theta$  -continuous if for any increasing  $\text{(decreasing)} \ \ ^{\Delta_i} - \text{open nbd V of f(x)}$  there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i - \text{open nbd U of x such that} \ \ f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq V$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Decreasing P.s.  $\theta$  -continuous if for any increasing  $\text{(decreasing)} \ \Delta_i - \text{open nbd V of }$  f(x) there exists a decreasing (increasing)  $\tau_i - \text{open nbd U of x such that } f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq V$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Definition 3.2. A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\Delta_I,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  is said to be:

Increasing P.  $\theta$  -continuous if for any increasing (decreasing)  $\Delta_i$  - open nbd V of f(x) there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  - open nbd U of x such that  $f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq \Delta_j.cl(V)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Decreasing P.  $\theta$  -continuous if for any increasing (decreasing)  $\Delta_i$  open nbd V of f(x) there exists a decreasing (increasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of x such that  $f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq \Delta_j.cl(V)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Definition 3.3. A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  is said to be:

(a) Increasing P-continuous if corresponding to every increasing

(b) Decreasing P-continuous if corresponding to every increasing (decreasing)  $\Delta_i$  open nbd V of f(x), there exists a decreasing (increasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ , for all  $x \in X$ 

Definition 3.4. An increasing (decreasing) mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  is said to be increasing (decreasing) P-continuous, P-open and P-closed if it is P-continuous, P-open and P-closed, respectively.

It is easy to prove the following theorems.

Theorem 3,5. A mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  is

Increasing (decreasing) P-continuous iff  $f^{-1}(V)$  is an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open subset of X, for every increasing  $\Delta_i$  open subset V of Y.

Increasing (decreasing) P-continuous iff  $f^{-1}(F)$  is an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  closed subset of X, for every increasing  $\Delta_i$  closed subset F of Y.

Theorem 3.6. Every increasing (decreasing) P.s.  $\theta$ -continuous mapping is increasing (decreasing) P-continuous.

The following example shows that the converse of the previous theorem is not true in general.

Counterexample 3.7. Let 
$$X=\{x_1,x_2\},\ \tau_1=\{X,\phi,\{x_1\},\{x_2\}\},\ \tau_2=\{X,\phi,\{x_2\}\ and\ \rho=\{(x_1,x_1),(x_2,x_2),(x_1,x_2)\}.$$
 Let  $Y=\{y_1,y_2\},\ \Delta_1=\{Y,\phi,\{y_1\}\},\ \Delta_2=\{Y,\phi\}\ and\ \rho^*=\{(y_1,y_1),(y_2,y_1),\ (y_2,y_2)\}.$  Define the mapping f as  $f(x_1)=y_2,\ f(x_2)=y_1.$  We find that f is increasing P-continuous but not increasing P.s.  $\theta$ -continuous as f is not increasing P.s-continuous at  $x_2$ .

Theorem 3.8. Every increasing (decreasing) P-continuous mapping.is increasing (decreasing) P.  $\theta$  -continuous.

Proof . We are present the case of increasing and the other is similar. Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X)\to (Y,\Delta_I,\Delta_2,\rho_Y) \text{ be increasing P-continuous. Let } x\in X \text{ and V be an increasing (decreasing)} \qquad \Delta_i \text{ open nbd of } f(x). \text{ Then by increasing P-continuity of } f, \text{ there exists an increasing (decreasing)} \qquad \tau_i \text{ open nbd U of x such that } f(U)\subseteq V. \text{ Now, we have } f(U)\subseteq V\subseteq \Delta_j.cl(V). \qquad U\subseteq f^{-1}f(U)\subseteq f^{-1}(\Delta_j.cl(V)). \qquad \text{Therefore } \tau_j.cl(U)\subseteq f^{-1}(\Delta_j.cl(V)) \qquad \text{Thus } f(\tau_j.cl(U))\subseteq \Delta_j.cl(V). \qquad \text{Hence f is increasing P.0 -continuous.}$ 

The following counterexample shows that the converse of the previous theorem is not true in general.

Counterexample 3.9. Let 
$$X=\{a,b\}=Y$$
,  $\rho=(a,a),(a,b),(b,b)\}=\rho^*$ ,  $\tau_1=\{X,\phi,\{a\}\},\ \tau_2=\{X,\phi,\{b\}\},\ \Delta_1=\{X,\phi,\{a\},\{b\}\}\}\ \text{and}\ \Delta_2=\{X,\phi\}$ . We find that  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho)\to (Y,\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\rho^*)$ , which is defined as  $f(x)=x$  for all  $x\in X$  is an increasing P.  $\theta$ -continuous but not increasing P-continuous as not increasing P-continuous at b.

Now, we have the following diagram.

inc 
$$P.s.\theta - cont. \Rightarrow inc \ P - cont. \Rightarrow inc \ P.\theta - cont.$$
Dually,
 $dec \ P.s.\theta - cont. \Rightarrow dec \ P - cont. \Rightarrow dec \ P.\theta - cont.$ 

where inc (dec)  $P.s.\theta-cont.$  means an increasing (decreasing) pairwise  $s.\theta-continuous$  and  $A\Rightarrow B$  denotes A implies B but not conversely.

Although the converse of Theorems 3.6 and 3.8 is not true, we have the following results. Theorem 3.10. Every increasing (decreasing) P-continuous mapping from a PSR2–ordered space into any bitopological ordered space is increasing (decreasing) P.s.  $\theta$ -continuous.

Proof. Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\Delta_I,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  be an increasing P- continuous mapping and  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X)$  be PSR2-ordered space. Let  $x \in X$  and V be an increasing (decreasing)  $\Delta_i$  open nbd of f(x). As f is increasing P-continuous, there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of x such that  $f(U) \subseteq V$ . Also, as  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X)$  is PSR2-ordered space, there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U\* of x such that  $\tau_j.cl(U^*) \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $f(\tau_j.cl(U^*)) \subseteq f(U) \subseteq V$ . Hence f is increasing P.s.  $\theta$ -continuous.

Analogously, we can prove the case of decreasing mapping.

Theorem 3.11. Every increasing (decreasing) P. $\theta$ -continuous mapping from an arbitrary bitopological ordered space into PSR2 – ordered space is increasing (decreasing) P-continuous.

Proof . We are present the case of increasing and the other is similar. Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\Delta_I,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  be an increasing P.  $\theta$  -continuous and Y be PSR2-ordered space. Let  $x \in X$  and V be an increasing (decreasing)  $\Delta_i$  open nbd of f(x). As Y is PSR2-ordered space, then there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\Delta_i$  open nbd V\* of f(x) such that  $V^* \subseteq \Delta_j . cl(V^*) \subseteq V$ . By increasing P.  $\theta$  -continuity of f, there exists an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of x such that

$$\begin{split} f(\tau_j.cl(U)) &\subseteq \varDelta_j.cl(V^*). \text{ Now, we have} \\ f(U) &\subseteq f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq \varDelta_j.cl(V^*) \subseteq V. \\ \text{Increasing P-continuous.} \end{split} \text{ Hence } f \text{ is} \end{split}$$

Definition 3.12. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  be a bitopological ordered space,  $x \in X$  and  $A \subseteq X$ . An increasing  $\theta_{ij}$  -closure of A, denoted by  $\theta_{ij}$  cl(A), is

A.A. Nouh et al.: Strongly  $\theta$  continuous mappings.....

defined by:

$$\begin{split} \theta_{ij}^{-1}.cl(A) &= \{x \in X: \text{ for every increasing } \tau_i - \text{open nbd U of x,} \\ \tau_j.cl(U) \bigcap A \neq \emptyset \}. \end{split}$$

A decreasing  $\theta_{ij}$  - closure of A, denoted by  $\theta_{ij}^{\ \ D}.cl(A)$ , is defined by :

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta_{ij}^{\ \ D}.cl(A) = \{x \in X: \\ &\text{for every decreasing} \ \tau_i - \text{open} \\ &\tau_i.cl(U) \bigcap A \neq \emptyset \}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) A set A is said to be increasing (decreasing) 
$$\theta_{ij}$$
 - closed if A=  $\theta_{ij}^{-1}.cl(A)(A=\theta_{ij}^{-D}.cl(A))$ .

Definition 3.13. Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  be a bitopological ordered space,  $x \in X$  and  $A \subseteq X$ .

(a) An increasing 
$$\theta_{ij}$$
 - interior of A, denoted by  $\theta_{ij}^{-1}.int(A)$ , is defined by : 
$$\theta_{ij}^{-1}.int(A) = \{x \in X : \text{there exists an increasing}$$
$$\tau_i - \text{open nbd U of x}, \quad \tau_j.cl(U) \subseteq A.$$

(b) A decreasing  $\theta_{ij}$  - interior of A, denoted by  $\theta_{ij}^{\ D}.int(A)$ , is defined by :

$$\theta_{ij}{}^D.int(A) = \{x \in X : \text{there exists a decreasing} \\ \tau_i - \text{open nbd U of x,} \quad \tau_j.cl(U) \subseteq A\}.$$

(c) A set A is said to be increasing (decreasing) 
$$\theta_{ij}$$
 open if A=  $\theta_{ii}^{-1}.int(A)(A=\theta_{ij}^{-D}.int(A))$ .

Now, we introduce some characterizations of  $P.s.\theta$  -continuity.

Definition 3.14. In a bitopological ordered space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$ , the point  $x \in X$  is said to be an increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  cluster point of A if each increasing (decreasing)  $\tau_i$  open nbd of x intersects A.

Theorem 3.15. For any mapping  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X)\to (Y,\Delta_1,\Delta_2,\rho_Y)$  , the following statements are equivalent :

- (a) f is increasing  $P.s.\theta$  continuous.
- (b) The inverse image of an increasing (decreasing)  $^{\Delta_i}$  -closed set is increasing (decreasing)  $^{\theta_{ij}}$  -closed.
- (c) The inverse image of an increasing (decreasing)  $^{\Delta}$  i  $^{-}$  open set is increasing (decreasing)  $^{\theta_{ij}}$  open.

Proof.  $(a)\Rightarrow (b)$  Let F be an increasing  $\Delta_i$  closed subset of Y and  $x\not\in f^{-1}(F)$ . Then  $f(x)\not\in F$ , and so there exists an increasing  $\Delta_i$  open nbd V of f(x) such that  $V\cap F=\phi$ .

By (a), there exists an increasing  $\tau_i$  open nbd U of x such that  $f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq V$ . Hence

 $f(\tau_{j}.cl(U)) \cap F = \phi, \quad \text{and then} \quad \tau_{j}.cl(U) \cap f^{-1}(F) = \phi \quad \text{This implies that} \\ x \not\in \theta^{l} \text{ } ij.cl(f^{-l}(F)). \quad \text{Thus} \quad \theta^{l} \text{ } ij.cl(f^{-l}(F)) \subseteq f^{-l}(F). \quad \text{As}$ 

 $f^{-1}(F) \subseteq \theta^1_{ij}.cl(f^{-1}(F)), \text{ then } f^{-1}(F) \text{ is increasing } \theta_{ij} - \text{closed}.$ 

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textit{(b)} \Rightarrow \textit{(c)} \;\; \text{Let U be an increasing} \;\; \overset{\varDelta_i}{-} \;\; \text{open subset of Y. Then Y\U is a decreasing} \;\; \overset{\varDelta_i}{-} \;\; \text{closed} \\ \text{subset} \quad \text{of} \quad \text{Y.} \quad \text{By} \quad \text{(b)}, \quad f^{-1}(Y \backslash U) \qquad \text{is} \quad \text{decreasing} \;\; \overset{\theta_{ij}}{-} \;\; \text{closed}. \quad \text{Now,} \\ X \backslash f^{-1}(U) = \end{array}$ 

 $f^{-1}(Y \backslash U) \text{ is decreasing } \theta_{ij} - \text{closed. Hence } f^{-1}(U) \text{ is increasing } \theta_{ij} - \text{closed.}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} (c) \Rightarrow (a) \quad \text{Let} \quad x \in X \quad \text{and V be an increasing} \quad \Delta_i \quad \text{open subset of Y such that} \quad f(x) \in V. \\ \text{By (c),} \quad f^{-1}(V) \quad \text{is an increasing} \quad \theta_{ij} \quad \text{open and} \quad x \in f^{-1}(V). \quad \text{Then there exists an increasing} \quad \tau_i \quad \text{open nbd} \quad \text{U} \quad \text{of} \quad \text{x} \quad \text{such that} \quad \tau_j.cl(U) \subseteq f^{-1}(V). \\ \quad f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq ff^{-1}(V) \subseteq V. \quad \text{Hence} \\ \end{array}$ 

Theorem 3.16. For an onto increasing P.  $\theta$ -continuous mapping  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X) \to (Y, \Delta_I, \Delta_2, \rho_Y) \qquad f(\theta_{ij}^D.cl(A)) \subseteq \theta_{ij}^D.cl(f(A))$   $(f(\theta_{ij}^I.cl(A)) \subseteq \theta_{ij}^I.cl(f(A)),$  ) for every subset A of X.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $X \in |L\text{-TOP}|$  be an extremally predisconnected. Then  $\lambda \in L^X$  is preciopen if and only if  $\lambda$  is a regular preopen L-set in X.

Proof. Necessity . It follows from Thoerem 3.3.

**Sufficiency**. Since X is an extremally predisconnected and  $\lambda$  is a regular preopen L-set in X, then  $\lambda$  is preopen and so  $pCI(\lambda)$  is a preopen L-set in X. Hence  $\lambda = pInt(pCI(\lambda)) = pCI(\lambda)$  which implies that  $\lambda$  is a preclosed L-set in X.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $X \in |L\text{-TOP}|$  be an extremally predisconnected . For  $\lambda \in L^X$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\lambda$  is a preclopen L-set in X;
- (ii)  $\lambda = pCl(pInt \lambda)$ ;
- (iii) λ' is a regular preopen L-set in X;
- (iv)  $\lambda$  is a regular preopen L-set in X.

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii) is obvious .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) . Let  $\lambda = pCl$  (pInt  $\lambda$ ) . Then  $\lambda' = (pCl \ pInt \ \lambda)' = pInt ( pInt \ \lambda )' = pInt \ pCl \ \lambda'$  .

Hence  $\lambda$ ' is a regular preopen L-set in X.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) . From Theorem 4.1,  $\lambda$ ' is a preopen and preclosed L-set in X . Hence  $\lambda$  is a preopen and preclosed L-set in X . Therefore  $\lambda$  = pInt pCl  $\lambda$  and hence  $\lambda$  is a regular preopen L-set in X .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) . It follows from Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.3. Let  $X \in [L-TOP]$  be an extremally predisconnected. Then for  $\lambda \in L^X$ ; Cl  $\lambda$  (resp. PCl  $\lambda$ ) is a regular preopen L-set in X if and only if  $\lambda$  is a preopen L-set in X. Proof. Necessity. It follows from Theorem 3.4.

Sufficiency. Let  $\lambda$  be a preopen L-set in X. Then CI  $\lambda$  is a preopen L-set in X and

hence preclopen . Thus CI  $\lambda$  is a regular preopen L-set in X .

Corollary 4.1. Let  $X \in [L-TOP]$  be an extremally predisconnected. Then for  $\lambda \in L^X$ , the L-sets CI (Int  $\lambda$ ), CI (pInt  $\lambda$ ), pCI (Int  $\lambda$ ) and pCI (pInt  $\lambda$ ) are regular preopen L-sets in X.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $X \in |L\text{-TOP}|$  be an extremally predisconnected. If  $\lambda \in L^X$  is either a regular open or a regular closed L-set, then  $\lambda$  is a regular preopen L-set in X.

**Proof.** If  $\lambda$  is regular open, then  $\lambda = Int Cl \lambda$ . Now

 $\lambda = Int CI \lambda = Int CI (pCI \lambda) \ge pInt pCI \lambda$ .

Also

pInt pCl  $\lambda \ge pInt(Cl Int \lambda) \ge Int Cl(Int \lambda)$ = Int Cl  $\lambda = \lambda$ .

Thus  $\lambda = pInt \, pCl \, \lambda$  implies  $\lambda$  is a regular preopen L-set .

Similarly if  $\lambda$  is regular closed , then  $\lambda$  = CI Int  $\lambda$  , and we have

 $\lambda = CI \text{ int } \lambda = pCI (CI \text{ int } \lambda) \ge pInt pCI (CI \text{ int } \lambda)$ 

=  $pInt pCI \lambda$ .

Also

pint pCi  $\lambda$  = pint pCi ( Ci int  $\lambda$  )  $\geq$  pint pCi ( int  $\lambda$  ) = pCi (int  $\lambda$ )  $\geq$  Ci int ( int  $\lambda$  ) **Theorem 4.2.** If  $(Y, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \rho_Y)$  is  $\operatorname{PT}_2$ -ordered space and  $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X) \to (Y, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \rho_Y)$  is  $\operatorname{P.s.} \theta$ -continuous and order embedding mapping, then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, \rho_X)$  is  $PT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -ordered space.

**Proof.** Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_1 \overline{\rho}_X x_2$ . Since f is order embedding mapping, then  $f(x_1) \overline{\rho}_Y f(x_2)$ . Since  $(Y, \Delta_1, \Delta_2, \rho_Y)$  is PT2-ordered space, then there exist an increasing  $\Delta_i$  — open nbd U of  $f(x_1)$  and a decreasing  $\Delta_j$  — open nbd V of  $f(x_2)$  such that  $U^* \cap V^* = \phi$ . Now, by P.s.  $\theta$ -continuity of f, there exist an increasing  $\tau_i$  — open nbd U of  $x_1$  and a decreasing  $\tau_j$  — open nbd V of  $x_2$  such that  $f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \subseteq U^*$  and  $f(\tau_i.cl(V)) \subseteq V^*$ . Therefore  $f(\tau_j.cl(U)) \cap f(\tau_i.cl(V)) = \phi$  and so  $\tau_j.cl(U) \cap \tau_i.cl(V) = \phi$ . Hence  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X)$  is  $PT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -ordered space.

**Theorem 4.3.** The composition of an increasing (decreasing) P.s.  $\theta$  -continuous mapping and an increasing (decreasing) P- continuous mapping is increasing (decreasing) P.s-continuous .

**Proof.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\varDelta_1,\varDelta_2,\rho_Y)$  be increasing (decreasing) P.s.  $\theta$ -continuous and  $g:(Y,\varDelta_1,\varDelta_2,\rho_Y) \to (Z,\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\rho_Z)$  be increasing (decreasing) P-continuous. Let V be an increasing (decreasing)  $\gamma_i$  — open subset of Z. As g is increasing P-continuous then  $g^{-1}(V)$  is an increasing (decreasing)  $\varDelta_i$  — open subset of Y. Now, as f is an increasing P.s.  $\theta$ -continuous mapping, then by Theorem 3.15, we have  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) = (gof)^{-1}(V)$  is an increasing (decreasing)  $\theta_{ij}$  — open subset of X. Hence  $g \circ f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_Y) \to (Z,\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\rho_X)$  is an increasing P.s.  $\theta$ -continuous. By duality, one can prove the theorem in case of decreasing mapping.

Theorem 4.4. The  $PT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  -ordered axiom is inverse invariant under P.  $\theta$  -continuous and order embedding mapping.

**Proof.** Let  $f:(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \to (Y,\varDelta_1,\varDelta_2,\rho_Y)$  be P.  $\theta$  -continuous and order embedding mapping from a bitopological ordered space  $(X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X)$  into a  $PT_{\frac{1}{2}}$  ordered space  $(Y,\varDelta_1,\varDelta_2,\rho_Y)$ . Let  $x_1,x_2 \in X$  such that  $x_1\rho_Xx_2$ . Then  $f(x_1)\rho_Y f(x_2)$ . Since  $(Y,\varDelta_1,\varDelta_2,\rho_Y)$  is  $PT_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , then there exist an increasing

 $\Delta_i - \text{ open nbd } V_1 \text{ of } f(x_1) \text{ and a decreasing } \Delta_j - \text{ open nbd } V_2 \text{ of } f(x_2) \text{ such that } \Delta_j.cl(V_1) \cap \Delta_i.cl(V_2) = \emptyset \text{ . By } P.\theta - \text{ continuity of } f \text{, there exist an increasing } \tau_i - \text{ open nbd } U_1 \text{ of } x_1 \text{ and a decreasing } \tau_j - \text{ open nbd } U_2 \text{ of } x_2 \text{ such that } f(\tau_j.cl(U_1)) \subseteq \Delta_j.cl(V_1) \text{ and } f(\tau_i.cl(U_2)) \subseteq \Delta_i.cl(V_2). \text{ Therefore } f(\tau_j.cl(U_1)) \cap f(\tau_i.cl(U_2)) = \emptyset \text{ and so } \tau_j.cl(U_1) \cap \tau_i.cl(U_2) = \emptyset. \text{ Hence } (X,\tau_1,\tau_2,\rho_X) \text{ is } PT_{\frac{2}{2}} \text{ -ordered space. }$ 

#### References

- [1] S. P. Arya and K. Gupta, New separation axioms in bitopological ordered spaces. Indian J. Pur Appl. Math. 22(6) (1991) 461-468.
- [2] G. Bosi, On the existence of semi continuous order preserving function on bitopological preordered spaces, Mini Conference on Mathematical Utility Theory, FRG, (1997) 22-24.
- [3] D. C. J. Burgess, and S. D. McCartan. Order-continuous functions and order-connected spaces. Proc. Camb. Phils. Soc. 68(1970), 27-31.
- [4] P. Das, Separation axioms in ordered spaces, Soochow J. Math. 30 (4) (2004) 447-454.
- [5] A. Kandil, E. E. Kerre, M. E. El-Shafei and A. A Nouh, Quasi  $\theta$  -spaces and pairwise  $\theta$  -perfect irreducible mappings. Austral. Math. Soc. A (52)(1992) 322-333.
- [6] J. C. Kelly, Bitopological spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc., 13 (1963) 71-89
- [7] S. D. McCartan, Bicontinuous preordered topological spaces, Pacific Math., 38 (2)(1971) 523-529
- [8] L. Nachbin, Topology and order, Van Nostrand Mathematical studies, Princeton, New Jersey. (1965).
- [9] T. G. Raghavan, Quisi-ordered bitopological spaces, Math. Student XLI (1973) 276-284.
- [10] T.G.Raghavan, Quisi-ordered bitopological spaces II, Kyungpook Math. J. 20(1980) 145-158.
- [11] K. P. Shum, Pinbyu Zhu and N. Kehayopulu, III-Homomorphisms and III – congruences on posets, Discrete Mathematics 308(2008) 5006-5013.
- [12] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, Bitopological ordered spaces, Math. Student XXXIX (1971) 440-447.
- [13] X. Q. Xu and Y. M. Liu, Regular relations and strictly complety regular ordered spaces, Topology and its applications 135 (2004) 1-12.
  - (1) alinouh2006@yahoo.com
  - (2) meshafei@hotmail.com
  - (3) maboelhamayel@yahoo.com

## الدواك ثنائية الإتصال من النوع θ القوى بين الفراغات ثنائية التوبولوجي المرتبة

على احمد حسن- محمد السيد الشافعي- محمد ابو الحمايل هذا البحث إختص بتقديم مفهومي الرواسم الثنائية المتصلة من النوع θ والرواسم قوية الإتصال من النوع θ بين الفراغات ثنائية التوبولوجي المرتبة. وأعطى بعض خصائص لهذين المفهومين بإستخدام المجموعات المغلقة من النوع وزيد المفهومين المفهومين الإنفصال وقد تم بحث العلاقة بين هذين المفهومين والرواسم الثنائية المتصلة. كما تم إثبات تكافؤ هذه الأنواع من الاتصال تحت شروط معينة.