

**Pre - θ - perfect mappings and p - closed spaces****Abdulla Salem Bin Shahna***Department of Mathematics , University of Aden , Aden , Yemen**(Received: 29-12-2011)*

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce Per - θ - perfect mappings and investigate some of their characterizations and properties . Also we give a characterization of p - closed spaces

Key words : Pre - θ - closed sets , filter base , p - closed spaces .

1. Introduction

A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called perfect if f is closed and $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact , for each $y \in Y$. Whyburn [9] proved that a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is perfect if and only if for every filter base Φ on $f(X)$ converging to $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(\Phi)$ is directed towards $f^{-1}(y)$. The purpose of the present paper is to introduce pre - θ - perfect mappings defined in a way similar to the above characterization of a perfect mapping and investigate some of their properties and characterizations . Also we give a characterization of p - closed spaces .

2. Preliminaries

Recall that a subset A of a space X is called preopen [4] if $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$. The complement of a preopen set is called preclosed . The intersection of all preclosed sets containing A is called the preclosure of A and denoted by $\text{pcl}(A)$.

Definition 2.1[5] . Let A be a subset of a space X .

- (i) A point $x \in X$ is called a pre - θ - cluster point of A if $\text{pcl}(V) \cap A \neq \emptyset$, for every preopen set V containing x .
- (ii) The set of all pre - θ - cluster points of A is called the pre - θ - closure of A and is denoted by $\text{pcl}_\theta(A)$.
- (iii) A subset A of a space X is called pre - θ - closed if $\text{pcl}_\theta(A) = A$.
- (iv) The complement of a pre - θ - closed set is called pre - θ - open .

Remark 2.1. It is obvious that a pre - θ - open (resp. pre - θ - closed) set is preopen (resp. preclosed) , but the converse need not be true as shown by Example 3.3 of [2] .

Definition 2.2. Let X be a topological space .

- (i) A point $x \in X$ is called a pre - θ - cluster point of a filter base Φ in X if $x \in \bigcap \{ \text{pcl}_\theta (F) : F \in \Phi \} = [\text{ad}]_{p\theta} (\Phi)$.
- (ii) A filter base Φ in X $p\theta^*$ - converges [2] to a point $x \in X$ if for each preopen set A containing x , there exists an $F \in \Phi$ such that $F \subset \text{pcl} (A)$.
- (iii) A filter base Γ is said to be subordinate [6] to a filter base Φ if for each $F \in \Phi$, there exists $G \in \Gamma$ such that $G \subset F$.
- (iv) A filter base Φ is said to be $p\theta$ - directed towards $A \subset X$ if every filter base subordinate to Φ has a pre - θ - cluster point in A .

3. Pre - θ - perfect mappings .

Definition 3.1. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called pre - θ - perfect ($p\theta$ - perfect in short) if for every filter base Φ in $f(X)$ $p\theta^*$ - converging to $y \in Y$, $f^{-1} (\Phi)$ is $p\theta$ - directed towards $f^{-1} (y)$.

Remark 3.1. Continuity is not assumed on $p\theta$ - perfect mappings .

Definition 3.2. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called pre - θ - closed ($p\theta$ - closed in short) if $\text{pcl}_\theta (f(A)) \subset f (\text{pcl}_\theta (A))$, for every subset A of X .

Theorem 3.1. Every $p\theta$ - perfect mapping is $p\theta$ - closed .

Proof. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $p\theta$ - perfect mapping . Let A be any subset of X and $y \in \text{pcl}_\theta (f(A))$. Then there exists a filter base Φ on $f(A)$, $p\theta^*$ - converging to y . Put $\Gamma = \{ f^{-1} (F) \cap A : F \in \Phi \}$. Then Γ is a filter base in X and subordinate to the filter base $f^{-1} (\Phi)$. Since $f^{-1} (\Phi)$ is $p\theta$ - directed towards $f^{-1} (y)$, we have $f^{-1} (y) \cap ([\text{ad}]_{p\theta} (\Gamma)) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, we obtain $y \in f (\text{pcl}_\theta (A))$. This implies that f is $p\theta$ - closed .

Theorem 3.2. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $p\theta$ - closed if and only if the image $f(A)$ of each pre - θ - closed subset A of X is pre - θ - closed subset of Y .

Proof. Obvious .

Theorem 3.3. The composition $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ of $p\theta$ -closed mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is $p\theta$ - closed mapping .

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 3.4. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $p\theta$ -perfect if and only if $[\text{ad}]_{p\theta} f(\Phi) \subset f([\text{ad}]_{p\theta} \Phi)$, for every filter base Φ in X .

Proof. Suppose $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $p\theta$ -perfect mapping. Let Φ be a filter base in X and $y \in ([ad]_{p\theta} f(\Phi))$. Then there exists a filter base Γ in $f(X)$ which is subordinate to $f(\Phi)$ and $p\theta^*$ -converges to y . Put

$$H = \{f^{-1}(G) \cap F : G \in \Gamma, F \in \Phi\},$$

then H is a filter base in X subordinate to $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. Since f is $p\theta$ -perfect, $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is $p\theta$ -directed towards $f^{-1}(y)$. Therefore, we have $f^{-1}(y) \cap ([ad]_{p\theta} H) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $y \in f([ad]_{p\theta} \Phi)$.

Conversely suppose that the condition holds and f is not $p\theta$ -perfect. Then there exists a filter base Φ in $f(X)$ such that Φ $p\theta^*$ -converges to a point $y \in Y$ and $f^{-1}(\Phi)$ is not $p\theta$ -directed towards $f^{-1}(y)$. Thus there exists a filter base Γ in X which is subordinate to $f^{-1}(\Phi)$ and $f^{-1}(y) \cap ([ad]_{p\theta} \Gamma) = \emptyset$. Therefore, we have $y \notin ([ad]_{p\theta} f(\Gamma))$ and hence $y \notin pcl_{\theta}(f(G_1))$ for some $G_1 \in \Gamma$. Then there exists a preopen set V containing y such that $(pcl(V)) \cap f(\Gamma_1) = \emptyset$. Since Φ $p\theta^*$ -converges to y and Γ is subordinate to $f^{-1}(\Phi)$, there exists $G_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $f(G_2) \subset pcl(V)$. Consequently, we have $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$. This contradicts that Γ is a filter base.

4. p -closed spaces

Definition 4.1. A space X is called p -closed [3] if every cover of X by preopen sets has a finite subcover whose preclosures cover X .

Definition 4.2. A subset A of a space X is called p -closed relative to X if for every cover $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of A by preopen sets of X , there exists a finite subfamily Δ_0 of Δ such that $A \subset \bigcup \{pcl(V_{\alpha}) : \alpha \in \Delta_0\}$.

Theorem 4.1. A subset B of a space X is p -closed relative to X if and only if $B \cap ([ad]_{p\theta} \Phi) \neq \emptyset$, for every filter base Φ in B .

Proof. Suppose that B is p -closed relative to X . Assume that there exists a filter base Φ in B such that $B \cap ([ad]_{p\theta} \Phi) = \emptyset$. Then for each $x \in B$ there exists a preopen set V_x containing x and an $F_x \in \Phi$ such that $F_x \cap pcl_{\theta}(V_x) = \emptyset$. Since B is p -closed relative to X , there exists a finite number of points x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in B such that

$$B \subset \bigcup \{pcl(V_{x_i}) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Put $F = \bigcap \{F_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then we obtain $F \cap B = \emptyset$. This contradicts that Φ is a filter base.

Conversely suppose $B \cap ([\text{ad}]_{p\theta} \Phi) \neq \emptyset$, for every filter base Φ in B . Assume that B is not p -closed relative to X . Then there exists a cover $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ of B by preopen sets of X such that

$$B \not\subset \bigcup \{ \text{pcl}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta \}, \text{ for every } \Delta \in \Gamma(B),$$

where $\Gamma(B)$ denotes the family of all finite subsets of B . Now put

$$F_\Delta = \bigcap \{ B - \text{pcl}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta \}, \text{ for each } \Delta \in \Gamma(B).$$

Then $\Phi = \{ F_\Delta : \Delta \in \Gamma(B) \}$ is a filter base in B and $B \cap ([\text{ad}]_{p\theta} \Phi) = \emptyset$. This is a contradiction. Therefore B is p -closed relative to X .

Theorem 4.2. *If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $p\theta$ -perfect, then $f^{-1}(B)$ is p -closed relative to X , for every p -closed relative to Y set B of Y .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.4. and Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.3. *A mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $p\theta$ -perfect if and only if*

- (i) *f is $p\theta$ -closed, and*
- (ii) *$f^{-1}(y)$ is p -closed relative to X , for each $y \in Y$.*

Proof. Necessity. Follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.2.

Sufficiency. This is proven in a similar manner as the proof of conversely of Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 4.4. *The composition $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ of $p\theta$ -perfect mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is $p\theta$ -perfect mapping.*

Proof. For $p\theta$ -closedness of $(g \circ f)$, this follows from Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 and $(g \circ f)^{-1}(z) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(z))$ is p -closed follows from Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.5. *Let S be a singleton with its unique topology. For a space X , the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) *X is p -closed*
- (ii) *The constant mapping $c : X \rightarrow S$ is $p\theta$ -perfect.*

Proof. The equivalence (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) follows from Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 4.6. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is $p\theta$ -perfect mapping and Y is p -closed, then X is p -closed.*

Proof. We show that the constant mapping $k : X \rightarrow S$ is $p\theta$ -perfect. Since Y is p -closed, therefore the mapping $c : Y \rightarrow S$ is $p\theta$ -perfect. Now k is $p\theta$ -perfect follows by noting that it is the composition $(c \circ f)$ of two $p\theta$ -perfect mappings. Hence X is p -closed.

References

- [1] S. H. Cho, A note on strongly θ - precontinuous functions , Acta Math. Hungar. 101 (1-2) (2003) , 173-178 .
- [2] S. H. Cho and J. K. Park , on regular preopen sets and p^* - closed spaces , J. Appl. Math. And Computing 18 (1-2) (2005) , 525 – 537 .
- [3] J. Dontchev , M. Ganster and T. Noiri , On p – closed spaces , Internat. J. Math. Sci. 24 (2000) , 203–212 .
- [4] A. S. Mashhour , M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb , On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings , Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt , 53 (1982) , 47 – 53 .
- [5] O. Njastad , On some classes of nearly open sets , Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965) , 961 – 970 .
- [6] T. Noiri , Ageneralization of perfect functions , J. London Math. Soc. (2) . 17 (1978) , 540 – 544 .
- [7] T. Noiri , Strongly θ - precontinuous functions , Acta Math. Hungar. 90 (4) (2001) , 307 – 316 .
- [8] I. L. Reilly and M. K. Vamanamurthy , On some questions concerning preopen sets , Kyungpook Math. J. 30 (1990) , 87 – 93 .
- [9] G. T. Whyburn , Directed families of sets and closedness of functions , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A, 54 (1965) . 688 – 692 .

الرواسم قبل التامة من النوع θ وفراغات p المغلقة

عبدالله سالم بن شحنة
قسم الرياضيات- جامعة عدن- اليمن

في هذا البحث قدمنا مفهوم الرواسم قبل التامة من النوع θ وقمنا بمناقشة بعض من خواصها وخصائصها , كما قمنا أيضاً في هذا البحث بتقديم بعض الخصائص لفراغات p المغلقة .