



## Asymptotic Behaviour Functional Differential Systems

**Dr. Fatma M.Kandil**

Mathematics Department ,Faculty of Science  
King Abdul Aziz University  
Jeddah - Saudi Arabia

(Received 29-3-2011)

**Abstract:** A functional differential system is considered. The existence and stability of the solution are investigated.

**A.M.S. Classifications:** 34K20, 34K17.

**Keywords:** Functional, differential, stability.

### 1. Introduction

A more general type of differential equation, is one in which the unknown function occurs with various different arguments. The simplest and perhaps most natural type of functional differential equation is a delay differential equation. The existence, uniqueness and stability are discussed in specialized books [2, 3, 4, 6] and papers [1, 5, 7] for example.

Let  $R^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional real Euclidean space for a given  $\tau \geq 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^n = C[-\tau, 0], R^n$  denote the space of continuous functions with domain  $[-\tau, 0]$  and range in  $R^n$ . For any element  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^n$ , we define the norm

$$\|\phi\|_0 = \max_{-\tau \leq s \leq 0} \|\phi(s)\|$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is any convenient norm in  $R^n$ . Suppose that  $x \in C[(-r, \infty), R^n]$ .

For any  $t \geq 0$ , let  $x_t$  denote the element of  $\mathcal{C}^n$  defined by

$$x_t(s) = x(t+s), \quad -\tau \leq s \leq 0$$

Let  $C(\rho) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}^n : \|\phi\|_0 < \rho\}$  where  $\rho$  is a given constant.

Now we consider the functional differential system

$$x' = f(t, x_t) \quad , \quad x_{t_0} = \phi_0 \quad (1.1)$$

where  $f \in C[\mathcal{S} \times C(\rho), R^n]$ , we shall assume that  $f(t, 0) \equiv 0$  and  $f(t, \phi)$  is smooth enough to guarantee the existence of solutions (1.1) in the future. For the definition of stability of solution see [1, 2, 4, 7].

## 2. Main Results

We shall state a very general set of conditions for preventing the solutions that start in a given set of  $R^n$  through any given part of its boundary.

Now we shall make use of the following theorem:

**Theorem A** [6, Theorem 6.9, pp 37-38] . Let  $m \in C[[t_0 - \tau, \infty), R_+]$  , and satisfy the inequality

$$D_- m(t) \leq f(t, m(t), m_t) \quad , \quad t > t_0$$

where  $f \in [\mathcal{S} \times R_+ \times \mathcal{S}_+, R]$  . Assume that  $f(t, x, \phi)$  is nondecreasing in  $\phi$  for each  $(t, x)$  and that  $r(t_0, \phi_0)$  ,  $\phi_0 \in \mathcal{S}_+$  , is the maximal solution of the equation

$$x' = f(t, x, x_t)$$

existing for  $t \geq t_0$ . Then  $m_{t_0} \leq \phi_0$  implies

$$m(t) \leq r(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \quad , \quad t \geq t_0 .$$

**Theorem 1:** Let  $H$  and  $E$  be open subsets of  $R^n$  , such that  $\bar{H} \subset E$  and let  $G \subset \partial H$  (where  $\partial H$  , the boundary of  $H$ ). Let  $V \in C[[-\tau, \infty)_x E, R]$  ,  $a \in C[[-\tau, \infty), R]$  and  $g \in C[\mathcal{S} \times R \times \mathcal{S}, R]$  , where  $V(t, x)$  is locally

Lipschitzian in  $x$  and  $g(t,u,v)$  is nondecreasing in  $v$  for each  $(t,u) \in \mathfrak{I} \times R$

Assume that

A<sub>1</sub>)  $\phi_0(s) \in H$ , for  $-\tau \leq s \leq 0$  where  $\phi_0 \in \wp^n$ ,

A<sub>2</sub>)  $V_{t_0} < a_{t_0}$  where  $V_{t_0} = V(t_0 + s, \phi_0(s))$ ,  $-\tau \leq s \leq 0$

A<sub>3</sub>)  $V(t,x) \geq a(t), (t,x) \in \mathfrak{I} \times G$

A<sub>4</sub>)  $D^+V(t, \phi(0), \phi) \leq g(t, V(\phi, 0), V_t)$ ,  $(t, \phi(s)) \in \mathfrak{I} \times H$ ,  $-\tau \leq s \leq 0$ ;

where  $D^+V(t, \phi(0), \phi) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow 0} h^{-1}[V(t+h, \phi(0) + hf(t, \phi) - V(t, \phi(0))]$

A<sub>5</sub>) any solution  $u(t_0, \sigma_0)$  of the functional differential equation

$$u' = g(t, u, u_t), \quad u_{t_0} = \sigma_0 < a_{t_0} \tag{2}$$

satisfies condition

$$u = (t_0, \sigma_0)(t) < a(t), \quad t \geq t_0$$

Then there exists no  $t^* > t_0$  such that

$$x(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \in H, \quad t_0 < t < t^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t_0, \phi_0)(t^*) \in G.$$

**Proof :** Suppose there exists  $t^* > t_0$  satisfying

$$x(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \in H, \quad t \in [t_0, t^*), \quad \text{and} \quad x(t_0, \phi_0)(t^*) \in G.$$

From assumption A<sub>3</sub>, it follows that

$$V(t^*, x(t_0, \phi_0)(t^*)) \geq a(t^*) \tag{3}$$

Let  $m(t) = V(t, x(t_0, \phi_0)(t))$ ,  $t_0 \leq t < t^*$

and using (A<sub>4</sub>) with Lipschitzian character of  $V$ , we get

$$D^+m(t) \leq g(t, m(t), m_t), \quad t_0 \leq t < t^* \tag{4}$$

Furthermore from (A<sub>2</sub>) we have

$$m_{t_0} < a_{t_0} \tag{5}$$

Now, by application Theorem A we have

$$V(t, x(t_0, \phi_0)(t)) \leq r(t_0, \sigma_0), \quad t \in [t_0, t^*) \quad (6)$$

where  $r(t_0, \sigma_0)$  is the maximal solution of (2). This together with  $V$  gives

$$V(t^*, x(t_0, \phi_0)(t^*)) < a(t^*),$$

which contradicts (3). This completes the Proof.

**Remark :** If all the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold except that  $(V)$  is replaced by  $D^+a(t) > g(t, a, a_t)$  for  $t \in \mathfrak{I}$ , then the conclusion of Theorem 1 remains the same.

**Theorem 2:** Let  $E$  be an open subset  $R^n, F \subset E, D \subset E$  with  $\bar{D} \subset E$ .

Assume that:

B<sub>1</sub>)  $V \in C[[-r, \infty) \times E, R]$ ,  $V(t, x)$  is locally Lipschitzian in  $x$ ;

B<sub>2</sub>)  $g \in C[\mathfrak{I} \times R \times \mathcal{R}, R]$ ,  $g(t, u, v)$  is nondecreasing in  $v$  for each  $(t, u) \in \mathfrak{I} \times R$  and

$$D^+V(t, \phi(0), \phi) \leq g(t, V(t, \phi(0)), V_t) \text{ and } (t, \phi) \in \mathfrak{I} \times \mathcal{R}^n$$

$$\text{with } \phi(s) \in E, -\tau \leq s \leq 0;$$

B<sub>3</sub>)  $\phi_0 \in F, -\tau \leq s \leq 0 \Rightarrow x(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \in E, t \geq t_0$ ;

B<sub>4</sub>)  $(t, x) \in \mathfrak{I} \times E \setminus D$  implies  $V(t, x) \geq a(t)$ ,  $a \in C[\mathfrak{I}, R]$ ,

B<sub>5</sub>) There exists a  $T^* = T^*(t_0, \sigma_0)$  such that for any solution  $u(t_0, \sigma_0)$  of the functional differential equation

$$u' = g(t, u, u_t), \quad u_{t_0} = \sigma_0$$

Satisfies the relation

$$u(t_0, \sigma_0)(t) < a(t), \quad t \geq t_0 + T^* \text{ holds.}$$

Then there exists a  $T = T(t_0, \phi_0) > 0$  such that

$$x(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \in D \text{ for all } t \geq t_0 + T.$$

**Proof :** Let  $(t_0, \phi_0(s)) \in \mathfrak{S} \times F$ , for  $-\tau \leq s \leq 0$ , so that the assumption (B<sub>3</sub>)  $x(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \in E$ , for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Putting

$$\sigma_0(s) = V(t_0 + s, \phi_0(s)) = V_{t_0}(s), \quad -\tau \leq s \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

Now we define

$$T(t_0, \phi_0) = T^*(t_0, V_{t_0}).$$

We claim that  $x(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \in D$ , for all  $t \geq t_0 + T$ , otherwise there exists a sequence  $\{t_k\}$  such that  $t_k \geq t_0 + T$ ,  $t_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and  $x(t_0, \phi_0)(t_k) \in E \setminus D$ .

Then by assumption (B<sub>4</sub>) we have

$$V(t_k, x(t_0, \phi_0)(t_k)) \geq a(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, in view of the assumption B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub>, B<sub>3</sub>, (7) and Theorem A in [6], we conclude that

$$V(t_k, x(t_0, \phi_0)(t_k)) < a(t_k), \quad t_k \geq t_0 + T \quad (9)$$

This contradiction proves the result.

**Theorem 3:** Assume that

(C<sub>1</sub>)  $V \in C[[-\tau, \infty) \times S(\rho) \setminus \{0\}, R]$ ,  $V(t, x)$  is locally Lipschitzian in  $x$

and  $V(t, x) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $\|x\| \rightarrow 0$ , for each  $t \in [-\tau, \infty)$ ;

(C<sub>2</sub>)  $b \in C[[-\tau, \infty) \times (0, \rho), R]$  and for  $(t, x) \in \mathfrak{S} \times S(\rho) \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$V(t, x) \geq b(t, \|x\|);$$

(C<sub>3</sub>)  $g \in C[\mathfrak{S} \times R \times \mathcal{R}, R]$ ,  $g(t, u, v)$  is no decreasing in  $v$  for each

$(t, u) \in \mathfrak{S} \times R$  and for  $(t, \phi) \in \mathfrak{S} \times C(\rho) \setminus \{0\}$ ,

$$D^+V(t, \phi(0), \phi) \leq g(t, V(t, \phi(0)), V_t);$$

(C<sub>4</sub>) any solution  $u(t_0, \sigma_0)$  of the functional differential equation

$$u' = g(t, y, u_t), \quad u_{t_0}(s) = \sigma_0(t) < b_{t_0}(s, r)$$

for every  $r \in (0, \rho)$  and  $s \in [-\tau, 0]$ , satisfies

$$u(t_0, \sigma_0)(t) < b(t, r), \quad t \geq t_0 \quad \text{for every } r \in (0, \rho).$$

Then the trivial solution of (1.1) is equistable.

**Proof:** In view of assumption  $(C_1)$ , for every  $(t_0 + \theta, \varepsilon) \in [t_0 - \tau, \infty) \times (0, \rho)$

there exists a  $\delta_\theta^* = \delta_\theta^*(t_0 + \theta, \varepsilon)$  such that  $\phi_0(\theta) \in S(\delta_\theta^*) \setminus \{0\}$  implies

$$V(t_0 + \theta, \phi_0(\theta)) < b(t_0 + \theta, \varepsilon), \quad \text{for } \theta \in [-\tau, 0]$$

Our aim is to choose  $\delta$  which is independent of  $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$ . For this purpose, we notice that the continuity of  $V$ ,  $b$  and  $\phi_0$  together with the fact

that  $S(\delta_\theta^*) \setminus \{0\}$  is open set, implies that there exists  $\eta_\theta > 0$  such that

$$V(t_0 + s, \phi_0(s)) < b(t_0 + s, \varepsilon), \quad \text{holds for } s \in (-\eta_\theta, \eta_\theta) \cap [-\tau, 0] \text{ and}$$

$$\phi_0(s) \in S(\delta_\theta^*) \setminus \{0\}.$$

Such a choice of neighbourhoods is possible for all  $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$ .

Consider the collection of open sets of  $[-\tau, 0]$  defined by

$$U = \{U_\theta : U_\theta = (-\eta_\theta, \eta_\theta) \cap [-\tau, 0], \text{ for all } \theta \in [-\tau, 0]\}.$$

It is easy to verify that it forms an open covering for  $[-\tau, 0]$ . Since this set is compact by Heine-Borel Theorem [9 pp 42], we can extract a finite

subcover corresponding to  $\eta_{\theta_1}, \eta_{\theta_2}, \eta_{\theta_3}, \dots, \eta_{\theta_n}$ , some fixed integer  $n$ .

Consider the corresponding numbers

$$\delta^*(t_0 + \theta_1, \varepsilon), \delta^*(t_0 + \theta_2, \varepsilon), \dots, \delta^*(t_0 + \theta_n, \varepsilon),$$

and set

$$\delta = \min\{\delta^*(t_0 + \theta_1, \varepsilon), \delta^*(t_0 + \theta_2, \varepsilon), \dots, \delta^*(t_0 + \theta_n, \varepsilon)\}.$$

Then for  $\theta \in [-\tau, 0]$ , we have

$$\phi_0(\theta) \in S(\delta) \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad V(t_0 + \theta, \phi_0(\theta)) < b(t_0 + \theta, \varepsilon)$$

or  $V_{t_0} < b_{t_0}(\varepsilon)$

whenever  $\phi_0 \in C(\delta) \setminus \{0\}$ .

Setting now  $E = S(\rho) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $H = S(\varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $G = \partial S\{\varepsilon\}$  and  $a(t) = b(t, \varepsilon)$ , we see that all the hypotheses of Theorem 3 are verified. Hence the conclusion follows.

**Remark:** Notice that the Liapunov-like function used in this theorem is neither positive definite nor defined at  $x = 0$ .

**Theorem 4:** Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 3 hold. Assume further that  $b(t, r)$  is nondecreasing in  $r$  for each  $t \in \mathfrak{I}$  and that there exists a  $T^* = T^*(t_0, \sigma_0) > 0$  such that every solution  $u(t_0, \sigma_0)$  of

$$u' = g(t, u, u_t), \quad u_{t_0} = \sigma_0$$

satisfies the relation

$$u(t_0, \sigma_0)(t) < b(t, r), \quad t \geq t_0 + T^*$$

for all  $r \in (0, \rho)$ . Then the trivial solution of (1) is equiasymptotically stable.

**Proof:** Since by Theorem 3, the trivial solution of (1) is equistable, for  $\varepsilon = \rho$ , a  $\delta_0 = \delta(t_0, \rho)$  such that  $\phi_0 \in C(\delta_0) \setminus \{0\}$  implies

$$x(t_0, \phi_0)(t) \in S(\rho) \setminus \{0\}, \quad t \geq t_0$$

Set  $F = S(\delta_0) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $E = S(\rho) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then the hypothesis (B<sub>3</sub>) of Theorem 2 is verified. Let  $(t_0, \varepsilon) \in \mathfrak{I} \times (0, \rho)$ , and set  $D = S(\varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then for  $(t, x) \in \mathfrak{I} \times E \setminus D$  and because of the hypothesis (C<sub>2</sub>) of Theorem 3, together with monotonicity of  $b(t, r)$  we have

$$V(t, x) \geq b(t, \varepsilon), \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \mathfrak{I} \times E \setminus D$$

Choosing  $a(t) = b(t, \varepsilon)$ , we see that  $B_4$  of Theorem 2 is verified. The rest of the hypotheses were checked already in the proof of Theorem 3, Hence the conclusion of the theorem follows from Theorem 2.

**Remark:** Observe that the Liapunov function used in Theorem 4 need not to be positive definite, decrescent and its derivative need not to be negative definite. Moreover it is not defined at  $x = 0$ .

### References

- [1] Berezansky, L. Braverman, E., On stability of some linear and nonlinear delay differential equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. 314 (2006) 391-411.
- [2] Diver, R. Ordinary and Delay Differential equation springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [3] Gyori, I. and Ladaas G., Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations, Clarenton Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [4] Hale, J. Theory of functional differential equation 1973.
- [5] Ladas, G. Sficas, Y, Stavroulakis, I., Asymptotic behavior of solutions of retarded differential equations Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 88, (1983) 247-253.
- [6] Lakshmikantham, V. and Leela, S., Differential and integral inequalities, Vol. I and II, Academic Pres, New York 1969.
- [7] Partheniadis, E. Stability and oscillation of neutral delay differential equations. Differential and Intrgral Equations Vol.1, (1988), 459-472.
- [8] Rouche, N, Habets, P. and Laloy, M., Stability Theory by Liapunov's Direct Methods. Springer-Verlage New York, 1977.
- [9] Royden, H. Real Analysis, The Macmillan Comp. New York, 2<sup>nd</sup> E<sup>nd</sup> 1968.



## السلوك القريب لنظام تقاربي دالي

فاطمه محمد فنديل

قسم الرياضيات-كلية العلوم- جامعة الملك عبد العزيز- جدة- المملكة العربية السعودية

تعتبر المعادلات التفاضلية ذات تأخير أكثر عمومية من المعادلات التفاضلية العادية  
ويناقد هذا البحث  
دراسة نظام تفاضلي دالي في  $R^n$  من هذا النوع وهذا النظام متعاقد على  
الصورة

$$f \in C[\mathcal{T} \times C(\rho), R^n] \text{ حيث } x' = f(t, x_t) , \quad x_{t_0} = \phi_0$$

$$x_t(s) = x(t+s) , \quad -\tau \leq s \leq 0$$

واستنتاج شروطا كافية على النظام ليضمن وجود الحلول ووحدايته ودراسة  
استقرار النظام